



## **Clark County**

## Yucca Mountain Project

Department Of Comprehensive Planning
Nuclear Waste Division
500 S. Grand Central Pkwy.
P. O. Box 551741
Las Vegas, NV 89155-1741
Public Response Questionnaire

RECEIVED
SEP 05 2001

Clark County recognizes the public's interest in the placement of a proposed Nuclear Waste Repository at Yucca Mountain, and is committed to providing the public with the opportunity to address concerns and respond publicly to those issues. The public involvement process is a vital part of Clark County's Impact Report, which will be submitted to the U. S. Department of Energy, and then to the President of the United States for final assessment. The following questionnaire will be included in Clark County's Final Impact Report. Your input is appreciated.

## General Information:

Name: Mary Dilton	Phone: 369-2528 Other number:			
Address: 1821 S Cochran Sr	City: LAS VEGAS	_State: <u>~</u> Zi	ip Code	: <u>8910</u>
Demographic Information:				
Age Group: 18-25 26-35 36-45	(Circle)	) <u>Sex</u> : M	F	(Circle)
Race: White Black Hispanic A	Asian Native Ameri	can Other:		(Circle)
Marital Status: Single Married	$\sim$			
Children: (total number 18 yrs. or less		d Voter: Yes	. No	(Circle)
How long have you lived in Clark Cou	inty? 52 m			

to any other site Bung considered nation-wide?

•
Proposed Project Responses: (Circle one)
1. How did you hear about this meeting?
Kadio Newspaper article Newspaper Ad E-mail Other
2. What is your position on the Yucca Mountain Project?
For Against
2 When you for the wild of the
3. Where you familiar with the Yucca Mountain Project prior to coming to this meeting?
Very Somewhat Not at all
A 17
4. How familiar were you with Clark County's position on the Yucca Mountain Project?
Very Somewhat Not at all
(Please rate on a scale of 1 to 5; I being the least important, 5 being the most important)
5. How important do you feel the potential impacts of this project are to you and your
family? 1 2 3 4 (5)
6. How concerned are you about the safety of transporting high level nuclear waste?
1 2 3 4 (5)
7. How concerned are you about emergency responses in case of a nuclear waste
transportation accident? 1 2 3 4 (5)
8. How concerned are you about the financial impacts that might occur as a result of
a transportation accident? 1 2 3 4 5
9. How concerned are you about potential exposure to radiation along transportation
routes? 1 2 3 4 (5)
10. How concerned are you about the potential impact of an earthquake on a repository at
Yucca Mountain? 1 2 3 4 (5) + Water Stells
11. Please rank in order of importance the type of impacts you are most concerned about:
5 financial (property values)
4 financial (infrastructure costs)
financial (tourism/convention business)
2-concretency preparedness
_2 safety
other:
10 777
12. What other steps do you recommend that Clark County take to more effectively deal
with this issue? Construct small storage areas in each region.
Additional comments: It seems late to be Looking FOR A Oump
Do many making years after allowing it's use for energy, 12 life has
DEED KNOWSH TOY GEARS, NEWFOR MAS the test sife I' thus it's
own waste needs to be handled. Why should we take "hot scien
So much many years after allowing it's use for Energy 12 like has been known for years. Novemen has the test site I thus it's own waste needs to be handled. Why should we take "hot scientrash" as our area sits among known fault lines I grut official as long ago as 20 yrs told way yucca was Not suitable To be home.
as long ago as 20 yrs told was Yucea was NOT suitable To be home
that good Yucca has been selected + NOTHING WE DO Will
The land the selection of the land

.....